

OBSERVATII PRIVIND LUCRARILE SCRISE – ESEU ACADEMIC 1.2

BOANCA Cristina (The Importance of nutrition for people with AIDS)

- + Good biblio and references
- + Nicely typed; good visual aspect
- NOT an argumentative essay. It does not argue Pros and Cons.
- Little effort was done to transform your previous paper into this essay. Actually it's almost the same paper.

LEXIC

- irregular verbs: *PUTTED* (=PUT)

BOTOS Simina (Equality between the sexes! Are women's rights respected?)

- + Well written, well documented.
- ~ Project a bit too ambitious for the extent of your research.
- Not truly a balanced argumentative essay. It advances a thoroughly feminist agenda, but fails to acknowledge the views that differ from the feminist stance.
- Some paragraphs consist of sole quotations, without any introduction or discussion.

SPELLING

- BEEing (3 times) instead of being. BE has one E, not two. It's not about honey bees!

CAUNI Ana (A problem to be left-handed?)

- + Interesting points, even if a bit too schematic. You should have chosen one aspect of left-handedness and treated it more thoroughly.
- Bibliography hesitates between underlining and *italics*.
- Some quotations were not that genial; they could've easily worked as paraphrases.
- Information could've been more consistent.

CHIMȘAC Ancuța Rodica (Alcohol – from a Christian , social, psy... perspective)

- The essay lacks neutrality and balance. The arguments are almost exclusively against.
- The title is too descriptive
- Some titles were changed in the parenthetical references... (*Alcoolul inamic* became *Alcoolul*). Titles should stay the SAME throughout your paper.
- Some titles have been abbreviated (*Dreptarul* → *Drept*). Keep it the same!

CHIRĂ Simona (Pro and Against Animal Rights)

- + Good construction.
- + Equal balance of pro and against arguments.
- + Good: open ending.
- Reference list uses two disreputable "cheat mills" (www.echeat.com, and www.megaessay.com)

LEXIC

- "tratat" has two meanings and two translations: *treaty* for *pact*, *înțelegeră* AND *treatise* for *study*, *massive book*. You used the wrong one.

SPELL

- the English quotation marks do NOT look like the Romanian ones. Not "this way" but "this way"

COMAN Anamaria Cristina (How Ethical is genetic engineering?)

- + Well researched and well written paper.
- + Solid dossier.

TYPING

- make it a habit to always type a SPACE: after commas, before open_brackets (
- use diacriticals for Romanian authors!

COSTIN Codruta Elena (Pro and against abortion)

- + One of the best papers in class.
- Two titles / authors not found in your bibliography!
- First names not required in parenthetical references

CRACIUN Dorina (Arguments on abortion)

- Some short quotations are given neither the source (parenthetically) nor the quotation marks. You are very close to plagiarism, believe me!

ERDEI -ARDELEANU Adina (Should a Dog be kept in one's house?)

- + Very nice paper.
- + Well documented, well balanced.
- + Well written – by the way, very good use of connectives!
- + Sensitive, personal, fun and compelling to read!
- + The Bibliography has NO ERROR! It's PERFECT!
- When abbreviating the titles in the parenthetical references (MLA) choose the FIRST word(s), so that the reader might locate the titles easily in your Bibliography!

HAI DU Raluca-Larisa (China's One Child Policy)

- This is NOT an argumentative essay.
- The title is too vague.
- Neither the title nor the abstract suggest an argument of any kind.
- The bibliography is unbalanced, consisting almost uniquely of internet sources!
- Too few references; wrong format.

SPELL

- **THEYR** (instead of **THEIR**). Many many times!
- Constant spelling errors
- **CHOOSES** (=alegeri) (instead of **CHOICES**)

MICLEA Lavinia-Melania (AIDS as a pandemic)

- Not truly an argumentative essay.
- The title does not suggest anything argumentative.
- Please, do domesticate your handwriting. It's wild!
- Books by two authors should give BOTH authors in parenthetical citation (Barnett & Whiteside...) not just (Barnett)
- You did not use any of the Romanian books listed in your Works Cited. Why?
- In such a paper – with a medical subject – the APA style should have been the obvious choice, not MLA.
- The titles in Parenthetical references should be *underlined*.

METAC Anita (Saint Anselm's Ontological Argument on the existence of God)

- + Sound research with first-hand sources on display.
- + Perfect bibliography, both in terms of content and of format.
- The title does not suggest an argumentative essay.
- You have done very little additional work since your previous paper on a virtually identical subject.
- Your argument uses over and over again the same "proof".
- The atheists' viewpoints are constantly minimized

TYPING

- make it a habit to always type a SPACE: after commas, before open_brackets (

NISTOR Calina (Homosexuality – a complex problem)

- + Well organized review of pro and against argument. Actually the best organized in the whole class.
- + Well balanced till the very end, with a neutral personal position. Well done!
- + Good bibliography and references.

NICOARA George (Saint Phytotherapy or alloptatic medicine?)

- + Well chosen bibliography from fundemental books.
- Quotations a bit too massive.
- Visible bias for phytotherapy (not that it bothers me!)
- The Conclusion should have been expressed in your own words. Always avoid leaving somebody else's words to make for your conclusion!

REFERENCES

- Still need to work on your references:
 - multiple authors (Nicoara et al)
 - foreign titles → keep them in the original language, do not translate them.

PETROVAN Romana (Saint What Happens Next?)

- + Interesting and captivating theme, treated with insight and maturity.
- + Interesting philosophical solutions to this age-old dilemma.
- + Perfect parenthetical references.
- + Orderly dossier, well organized.
- The abstract is a bit too terse, and does not announce clearly the subject of your study.

SPELLING

- -FULL as a suffix, instead of -FUL (*peacefuLL* instead of *peaceful*)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- You should've made clear which edition of Teodorescu you actually used: the Romanian or the English one?
- use diacriticals for Romanian titles!

POP Carmen (Euthanasia – is it a solution?)

- + All the right arguments.
- + good references and biblio.
- Strange choice to use a table to arrange your arguments PRO vs CON. It makes difficult to follow your line of thought. And of course I cannot see the way you can use the right connectives to organize your discourse! The paper has to consist of a linear discourse, with all the limitations this format entails.

POP Ioana Dalina (Meat or vegetables)

- Do not give quotations in your abstract!
- No page numbers.
- Some Titles between brackets is absent from the bibliography (Norton "Vegetables") (Wills "Pathology")
- "Gale" is actually "Thompson". And in fact this is not the Author but the Editor of the whole book.
- Do NOT capitalize names of minerals!

SPELLING

- "Should we refuse a WORM meal?" I'd say we should, because WORM means "vierme", not "cold" as intended ("warm" is the right word)